CEOSTTApproved For Release 2009/09/02 : CIA-RDP05T00644R000601730013-4

21 MAY 1980

•		
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Central Intelligence	
FROM:	Harry E. Fitzwater Director of Personnel Policy, Planning, and Management	
SUBJECT:	Response on Senior Intelligence Service Statistics	
REFERENCE:	Your Memo dtd 12 May 1980; Subject: Senior Intelligence Service Statistics	
in the number of consequence is this. In the mesteady promotion and lower attrit during FY-1981 was the dip in F	ossible to work our promotion rates so that an orderly increase SIS 1-4 is achieved during FY's-1980 - 83, but the interesting hat the promotion rates must vary from year to year to achieve morandum of 2 May, there was an attempt to maintain relatively numbers in the face of a projected high attrition for FY-1981 ion projected for FY's-1982 and 1983. As a result, losses ere projected to exceed new SIS entrants, and the result Y-1981 to which you referred. Table 1 illustrates the variable that would be needed to avoid this dip. Table 2 shows resultant	25X^
the promotion lethe earlier paper again see a resurt more by a higher a forecast of the seven-year cycle logical candidate FY's-1984 - 86 we in the Senior In Table 3 illustrations.	ference to stretching the return to the level over five in three and one-half, this could be done and we have developed vels necessary to do this. The reason this was not done in r of 2 May was a pessimistic expectation that by 1984 we would regence of inflation and that this would be accompanied once attrition rate. Though we would hate to be pinned down to is sequence, it is a fact that we seem to be having a six- to between inflationary peaks and that FY-1984 would be a e for another upswing in inflation. On this line of reasoning, ould not be likely years to see a reduction of promotion rates 25 telligence Service. If you do not share this pessimism, tes the promotion rates associated with a five-year return evel. Table 4 shows resultant SIS levels.	25X ²
GS-15's. There are of the feeder gro	ed us to take a look at the situation for GS-13's through are two factors to consider here. The first is the adequacy oup in numbers. The second is the possibility of increasing and within the feeder group.	• 25X
	25X1	
EXEGUTI FILE #	ME-REGISTRY	

Approved For Release 2009/09/02 : CIA-RDP05T00644R000601730013-4

4. The Analysis Staff finds that the feeder group is not affected by the same variability in attrition rates as the Senior Intelligence Service and that the levels and promotion rates have held relatively consistent over recent years. According to APP statistics, the following are the Agency totals for selected years:	25X1
According to the promotion planning projections prepared last summer for FY-1980 through FY-1984, no significant changes in levels are anticipated.	25X1
5. With respect to promotion rates in the GS-13 through GS-15 group, unoccupied headroom at the Senior Intelligence Service levels does make some grade points available for the feeder group level that could be used to increase the number of promotions there. The effect would be slight, however, because the numbers are so large, and the impact on motivation would be less than the impact of a higher rate of promotion to SIS. For example, with GS-14's, holding back on SIS promotions would only increase the possible promotion rate from GS-14 to GS-15 by 2 percent of the total GS-14 population.	25X1 X1
6. In its survey of the literature, the Analysis Staff has found that the concern elsewhere in Government is focused more intensely on the problems that arise when officers are given management or supervisory responsibility at the senior executive level and are not given commensurate pay or prerequisites. Because of pay compression, high responsibility is assumed by employees who receive very little or no increase in pay. However, enhancement of status and some relatively low-cost benefits, such as leave retention and the possibility of awards, materially improve the attractiveness of the positions. To withhold these would have little budgetary impact but would adversely affect the attractiveness of higher responsibility. There would be little incentive to lengthen the period of active service at higher responsibility. It is important to provide promotion and the accompanying benefits to officers qualified to perform	K 1
the corresponding duties.	25X1
7. The DCI reserve of former SPS allowances results from Agency allowance not utilized by the Directorates. Accordingly, these allowances, which are now included within the SIS allowance, have been incorporated into the DCI reserve.	25X1
8. The differences between Table 2 and 3 (2 May paper) with respect to the number on board on 31 March have to do with the inclusion of SIS-5 through SIS-6 in Table 3 and exclusion of these grades in Table 2.	20/
Harry E. Fitz.	
25X1	

Harry E. Fitzwater

